



# MULTI STATE DATA PROJECTS

# Outline

- ProPublica's proposal for a national report
  - *NAHDO believes this is an opportunity for data agencies:*
  - *Consumer-oriented information demonstrating value in hospital data sets*
  - *Establish a process for future multi-state reports?*
- Census-State Public Health Data Linkages
  - *Linking Census data to enhance state data (SES, income, etc.)*

# ProPublica Cancer Volume Process

- Pro-Publica approached NAHDO after seeing the CA OSHPD website to see if NAHDO could spearhead an effort to get other states to run the same SAS program  
<http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/hid/cancer-surgery-report.html>
- NAHDO-ProPublica webinar in February 2017 (over 15 states participated) to inform them of the project and opportunity to talk with OSHPD staff
- NAHDO sent out a Packet (see next slide)
- States requested the SAS tool (seven states requested this to date)
- Two maybe three have sent data to ProPublica

# Packet

- OSHPD Volume of Cancer Surgeries Performed in California Hospitals website: <http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/hid/cancer-surgery-report.html>
- SAS Code Request form. Please complete this form and return to [info@nahdo.org](mailto:info@nahdo.org) and we will send you the SAS Code.
- PDF CanserSurgeryCaHospitalsICD9Codes. Lists the ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes and the CPT procedure codes used.
- **Lit review and methodology.** This document provides a more detailed literature review than what is available in the Technical Notes document on the [website](#)
- **JOP October 2016-** A Journal of Oncology Practice article that described the project
- **Slides findings volume project November 12 2014.** This is a PowerPoint presentation for projects the Advisory Committee meeting
- **CARCR Poster\_picture.** The poster presentation for the California Association of Regional Cancer Registries Conference (OSHPD does not have an electronic version, this is a photo of the poster)
- PDF CancerSurgeryInfographic

# What we heard from states

- States that sent data to Propublica
  - *Was simple, good report for their use*
  - *MOU needed to be signed by ProPublica—this took a long time*
- States that requested SAS tool but not sent data
  - *Waiting for ICD-10 version*
  - *Vendor won't run the code (not part of contract) and do not have internal capacity*
- States that did not request
  - *Board won't let them*
  - *Don't have resources*
  - *Will wait until ICD 10 code made available*

# From ProPublica....

- *Frankly, our research/analysis with the Sloan Kettering team is taking longer than I initially anticipated*
- *I also worry that data through Q3 2015 may seem out of date by the time we publish.*
- *I wonder if we should make another push with ICD10, as you suggest.*
- *I also wonder how we'd display it with only five states.*
  
- *I think we're onto something here. And when you look at the states that have shared their data, what you see is tremendous variation that the public deserves to know about.*

# How do we make this more simple....

- Is there interest in or merit in multi-state data initiatives?
- What barriers exist for your state to participate?
- How to facilitate such initiatives in the future:
  - *NAHDO's role*
  - *Incentives*
  - *Facilitators*